agreement to the early termination of transportation of transportation service under Rate to the early termination of transportation service under Rate Schedules T–1, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Ozark states that pursuant to a Transportation Agreement between Ozark and Tennessee dated March 1, 1982, (Agreement), Ozark provides Tennessee firm transportation of a maximum daily volume of fifty percent of Ozark's capacity or 85,000 Mcf per day under Ozark's Rate Schedule T-1. Ozark states that Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia) is entitled to the remaining fifty percent of Ozark's capacity under Rate Schedule T-1. Ozark asserts that the Agreement expires on February 28, 1997. Ozark further asserts that Order No. 636 virtually eliminated Tennessee's merchant function and thereby stranded Tennessee's capacity on Ozark.

Ozark states that pursuant to a Stipulation dated December 9, 1994, Ozark and Tennessee agreed to the terms and conditions for the early termination of the Agreement. Ozark states the terms of the Stipulation include Ozark's abandonment of service to Tennessee under Rate Schedule T-1, Ozark's acquisition of Tennessee's X3-100 lateral line; and Ozark's charging Tennessee a negotiated exit fee. Ozark requests authority to implement the terms of the Stipulation. Ozark states that the Stipulation would be effective upon the date the Commission has issued final orders approving without modification both this Stipulation and the stipulation between Ozark and Columbia also dated December 9, 1994, setting forth the terms and conditions of Ozark's abandonment of firm transportation to Columbia under Rate Schedule T-1. Ozark further states that Tennessee filed in Docket No. RP95-144 on January 27, 1995 for approval of the Stipulation and Columbia filed in Docket No. RP95-98 on December 20, 1994 for approval of the stipulation between Ozark and Columbia.

Ozark requests that the order approving the Stipulation authorize under specific circumstances the reinstatement of the Agreement; service under the Agreement; and Ozark's minimum bill and demand charges.

Ozark states that the proposed Exit Fee would be calculated using a formula set forth in the Stipulation. Ozark further states the amount which Tennessee would be obligated to pay pursuant to the Exit Fee formula would depend on the date the Stipulation becomes effective and the amount of excess capacity at that time.

Ozark states that the X3–100 lateral line consists of 4.76 miles of 12-inch line that interconnects Ozark with Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.
Ozark states that Tennessee would no longer have any use for this line after the abandonment of Ozark's service to Tennessee. Ozark proposes to acquire the line from Tennessee at a price equal to the lower of \$1.7 million or the actual net book value of the facilities.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before March 28, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate, and permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its won motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Ozark to appear or be represented at the hearing.

#### Lois D. Cashell.

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–6040 Filed 3–10–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP93-55-004]

# Trailblazer Pipeline Co.; Notice of Refund Report

March 7, 1995.

Take notice that on February 16, 1995, Trailblazer Pipeline Company (Trailblazer) filed its Report of Distribution of Refunds for Docket No. RP93–55 for the period August 1, 1994 through October 31, 1994.

Trailblazer states that the refund was made in compliance with the provisions of Article 2.4 of the Stipulation and Agreement (Settlement) filed on August 19, 1994 in the above-referenced proceeding and was approved by a Commission order issued October 31, 1994. On December 30, 1994, Trailblazer paid its jurisdictional customers the refunds owed to them.

Trailblazer states that copies of the filing were served upon each of Trailblazer's jurisdictional customers, intervenors and interested state

regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests should be filed on or before March 14, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the public reference room. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–6041 Filed 3–10–94; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

## [Docket No. ER95-245-000]

# West Texas Utilities Co.; Notice of Filing

March 7, 1995.

Take notice that on March 3, 1995, West Texas Utilities Company (WTU) tendered for filing an amendment to its Coordination Sales Tariff, filed November 30, 1994. Under the Coordination Sales Tariff, WTU will make Economy Energy, Short-Term Power and Energy, General Purpose Energy and Emergency Service available to customers upon mutual agreement. The amendment lowers the rate for purchase and resale transactions.

WTU has asked for an effective date of January 31, 1995. Copies of this filing were served on the Public Utility Commission of Texas and are available for public inspection at WTU's offices in Abilene, Texas.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before March 17, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–6042 Filed 3–10–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

#### Office of Energy Research

Energy Research Financial Assistance Program Notice 95–15: Human Genome Program—Ethical, Legal, and Social Implications

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy (DOE). **ACTION:** Notice inviting grant applications.

SUMMARY: The Office of Health and Environmental Research (OHER) of the Office of Energy Research (ER), U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), hereby announces its interest in receiving applications in support of the Ethical, Legal, and Social Implications (ELSI) subprogram of the Human Genome Program (HGP). The HGP is a coordinated, multidisciplinary, goaloriented, research effort aimed at improving technologies that will lead to a detailed understanding of the human genome at the molecular level. This particular research notice encompasses research grants that address ethical, legal, and social issues that may arise from the use of information and knowledge resulting from the HGP. **DATES:** Formal applications submitted in response to this notice must be received by 4:30 p.m., E.D.T., July 13, 1995, to be accepted for merit review in September 1995 and to permit timely consideration for award in Fiscal Year 1996. **ADDRESSES:** Formal applications referencing Program Notice 95-15

should be forwarded to: U.S.

Department of Energy, Office of Energy

Research, Acquisition and Assistance Management Division, ER-64 (GTN), Washington, D.C. 20585, Attn: Program Notice 95–15. The following address must be used when submitting applications by U.S. Postal Service Express Mail or any commercial mail delivery service, or when handcarried by the applicant: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Research, Acquisition and Assistance Management Division, ER-64, 19901 Germantown Road, Germantown, MD 20874.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Daniel W. Drell, Office of Health and Environmental Research, ER-72 (GTN), Office of Energy Research, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585, (301) 903–6488.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The DOE

encourages the submission of applications to conduct multidisciplinary, empirical research on privacy issues from the creation, use, maintenance, and disclosure of genetic information. This may include (but is not limited to) issues of ownership and control of genetic information and the protection of the privacy of genetic information in various settings including the workplace. Applications should demonstrate knowledge of the relevant literature and should include detailed plans for the gathering and analysis of factual information and the exploration of the specific issues of interest. All applications should include, where appropriate, detailed discussion of human subjects protection issues; e.g., storage of, manipulation of, and access to data. Where appropriate, provisions to ensure the inclusion of women, minorities, and potentially disabled individuals must be described, unless specific exclusions are scientifically necessary and justified in detail. All proposed research applications should address the issue of efficient dissemination of results to the widest appropriate audience.

The DOE is also soliciting applications for the preparation and dissemination of educational materials in any appropriate medium that will enhance public understanding of both the scientific aspects and the ethical, legal, and social aspects of the HGP. In addition, the DOE is encouraging applications for the support of conferences focusing on specific issues or areas of concern related to the ethical, legal, and social implications of the HGP. This may include (but is not limited to) implications of advances in the genetic characterization of complex traits and diseases. Educational and conference applications should also

demonstrate awareness of the relevant literature, and include detailed plans for the accomplishment of project goals, including, where appropriate, video productions. In the case of applications that propose the production of series for broadcast, audiovisuals or other educational materials, the DOE strongly recommends that samples of previous similar work by the producers and writers be submitted along with the application. In the case of all educational activities, the DOE strongly recommends inclusion of assessments of effectiveness of the proposed activities. In the case of all conferences, a fairly detailed and complete roster of committed speakers is necessary. At the completion of the conference, a summary or report is required. Educational and conference applications must also demonstrate awareness of the need to reach the widest appropriate audience.

Potential applicants are strongly encouraged to submit a brief preapplication, in accordance with 10 CFR 600.10(d)(2), that consists of two to three pages of narrative describing the research project objectives and methods of accomplishment. These will be reviewed relative to the scope and research needs of the DOE's Human Genome Program. Preapplications referencing Program Notice 95-15 should be received by April 13, 1995, and sent to Dr. Daniel W. Drell, Office of Health and Environmental Research, ER-72 (GTN), Washington, D.C. 20585. Telephone and FAX numbers are required parts of the preapplication, and electronic mail addresses are desirable. A response to the preapplications discussing the potential program relevance of a formal application generally will be communicated within 30 days of receipt.

It is anticipated that approximately \$700,000 will be available for grant awards during FY 1996, contingent upon availability of appropriated funds. Multiple-year funding of grant awards is expected and is also contingent upon availability of funds. Previous awards have ranged from \$60,000 per year up to \$500,000 per year with terms from one to three years; most awards average about \$200,000 per year for two or three years. Similar award sizes are anticipated for new grants.

Information about development and submission of applications, eligibility, limitations, evaluation, selection process, and other policies and procedures may be found in the Application Guide for the Office of Energy Research Financial Assistance Program and 10 CFR Part 605. The Application Guide is available from the